



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,318	11/13/2001	Hartmut Schulz	420 P 013	9912
26568	7590	10/03/2002	COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD	EXAMINER
SUITE 2850			CHIN, PETER	
200 WEST ADAMS STREET				
CHICAGO, IL 60606				
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1731	5	
DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/006,318	SCHULZ, HARTMUT
	Examiner Peter Chin	Art Unit 1731

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida et al (5,817,427) or Ware et al (3,546,066) in view of Olson (4,028,173).

Ishida et al discloses combination of titanium dioxide mixed with adsorbent and filler such as talc, column 4, lines 17-23 in paper. Ware et al teaches mixture of titanium dioxide and talc, column 4, lines 52-64. Olson teaches that opacifying power of pigments is related to the particle size distribution of the pigment. Thus, the claimed particle size would have been an obvious optimization of the opacifying power of the filler/pigment. Since the specific surface area is related to the particle size, the filler/pigment would inherently have the claimed specific surface area recited in claim 2.

Note that the recitation of "decorative" is a statement of intended use, which imparts no patentable weight to the claims.

2. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida et al (5,817,427) or Ware et al (3,546,066) in view of Olson (4,028,173) further in view of Casey (Pulp and Paper, pages 1603 and 1604).

It would have been obvious to add a cationic retention aid which is adsorbed by the cellulose fibers and thus cationically "modify" the cellulose fiber since it is conventional practice in the art as shown by Casey.

3. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

mmunications from the
ber is (703) 308-2046.

sful, the examiner's
fax phone numbers
d are (703) 305-7718
munications.
s application or
number is (703) 308-

niner